

## United States Patent and Trademark Office

UNITED STATES DEPARTMENT OF COMMERCE United States Patent and Trademark Office Address: COMMISSIONER OF PATENTS AND TRADEMARKS Washington, D.C. 20231 www.uspto.gov

APPLICATION NO.	FILING DATE	FIRST NAMED INVENTOR	ATTORNEY DOCKET NO.	CONFIRMATION NO.	
10/032,330	12/31/2001	Shmuel Ben-Sasson	BEN-SASSON=7 6244		
1444 75	90 03/06/2003				
BROWDY AND NEIMARK, P.L.L.C. 624 NINTH STREET, NW SUITE 300			EXAMINER		
			RUSSEL, JEFFREY E		
WASHINGTON, DC 20001-5303			ART UNIT	PAPER NUMBER	
			1654	7	
			DATE MAILED: 03/06/2003	/	

Please find below and/or attached an Office communication concerning this application or proceeding.

		Application No.		Applicant(s)			
		10/032,330		BEN-SASSON, SHMUEL			
Office Action Summary		Examiner		Art Unit			
		Jeffrey E. Russel	•	1654			
Period fo	The MAILING DATE of this communication app or Reply	ears on the cover	sheet with the c	orrespondence ad	dress		
THE I - Exter after - If the - If NO - Failu - Any r	ORTENED STATUTORY PERIOD FOR REPLY MAILING DATE OF THIS COMMUNICATION. msions of time may be available under the provisions of 37 CFR 1.13 SIX (6) MONTHS from the mailing date of this communication. period for reply specified above is less than thirty (30) days, a reply period for reply is specified above, the maximum statutory period or re to reply within the set or extended period for reply will, by statute, eply received by the Office later than three months after the mailing and patent term adjustment. See 37 CFR 1.704(b).	36(a). In no event, howe y within the statutory min vill apply and will expire to cause the application to	ever, may a reply be tim imum of thirty (30) days SIX (6) MONTHS from to become ABANDONED	ely filed  will be considered timely the mailing date of this of (35 U.S.C. § 133).			
1)🛛	Responsive to communication(s) filed on <u>05 A</u>	April 2002 .					
2a) <u></u> □	This action is <b>FINAL</b> . 2b) ☐ Th	is action is non-fi	nal.				
3)□ Dispositi	Since this application is in condition for alloward closed in accordance with the practice under ton of Claims				e merits is		
4)⊠	Claim(s) $\underline{1-66}$ is/are pending in the application	ı <b>.</b>					
4a) Of the above claim(s) is/are withdrawn from consideration.							
5) 🗌	Claim(s) is/are allowed.						
6)[	Claim(s) is/are rejected.						
7)	Claim(s) is/are objected to.						
8)⊠	Claim(s) 1-66 are subject to restriction and/or	election requireme	ent.				
Applicati	on Papers						
9) 🗌 -	The specification is objected to by the Examine	r.					
10) 🔲 🗆	The drawing(s) filed on is/are: a)□ accep	oted or b)□ objecte	ed to by the Exan	niner.			
	Applicant may not request that any objection to the	e drawing(s) be hele	d in abeyance. Se	ee 37 CFR 1.85(a).			
11) 🔲 🗆	The proposed drawing correction filed on	_is: a)∐ approve	ed b)∏ disappro	ved by the Examin	er.		
	If approved, corrected drawings are required in rep	oly to this Office act	ion.				
12) 🗌 🗆	The oath or declaration is objected to by the Ex	aminer.	,				
Priority u	ınder 35 U.S.C. §§ 119 and 120						
13)	Acknowledgment is made of a claim for foreign	priority under 35	U.S.C. § 119(a)	-(d) or (f).			
a)[	☐ All b) ☐ Some * c) ☐ None of:						
	1. Certified copies of the priority documents	s have been rece	ived.				
2. Certified copies of the priority documents have been received in Application No							
	3. Copies of the certified copies of the prior application from the International Bursee the attached detailed Office action for a list	reau (PCT Rule 1	7.2(a)).		Stage		
14)∐ A	cknowledgment is made of a claim for domestic	c priority under 3	5 U.S.C. § 119(e	) (to a provisional	application).		
	) ☐ The translation of the foreign language pro Acknowledgment is made of a claim for domesti						
Attachment	:(s)						
2) Notice	e of References Cited (PTO-892) e of Draftsperson's Patent Drawing Review (PTO-948) nation Disclosure Statement(s) (PTO-1449) Paper No(s)	5) 🔲		(PTO-413) Paper No( atent Application (PT0			
S. Patent and Tra PTO-326 (Rev		tion Summary		Part of	f Paper No. 7		

Art Unit: 1654

1. Restriction to one of the following inventions is required under 35 U.S.C. 121:

Claims 1-36, 40-44, and 48-66, drawn to therapeutic methods of treatment and the active agents and pharmaceutical compositions used therein, classified in class 514, subclass 2.

II. Claims 37-39 and 45-47, drawn to assays for identifying compounds having specified properties, classified in class 436, subclass 86.

The inventions are distinct, each from the other because:

Inventions I and II are related as subcombinations disclosed as usable together in a single combination. The subcombinations are distinct from each other if they are shown to be separately usable. In the instant case, the invention of Group I has separate utility because it can use compounds which have not been identified with the assay of Group II, and because the compounds identified in the invention of Group I can be used in non-therapeutic methods. The results achieved by the methods in each of the Groups are materially different from one another. See MPEP § 806.05(d).

Because these inventions are distinct for the reasons given above and have acquired a separate status in the art as shown by their different classification, restriction for examination purposes as indicated is proper.

Because these inventions are distinct for the reasons given above and have acquired a separate status in the art because of their recognized divergent subject matter, restriction for examination purposes as indicated is proper.

Art Unit: 1654

Because these inventions are distinct for the reasons given above and the search required for Group I is not required for Group II and the search required for Group II is not required for Group I, restriction for examination purposes as indicated is proper.

2. Regardless of whether the invention of Group I or of Group II is elected, the following election of species requirement is also made:

This application contains claims directed to the following patentably distinct species of the claimed invention: The five patentably distinct species are the sequences recited in sections (a), (b), (c), (d), and (h) of claims 1, 12, 37, and 45. (The variants, D-amino acid replacements, peptidic backbone alterations, and combinations will be examined together with the elected sequence.) These sequences are patentably distinct from each other because of their materially different amino acid sequences, which would require multiple and non-overlapping amino acid sequence searches.

Applicant is required under 35 U.S.C. 121 to elect a single disclosed species for prosecution on the merits to which the claims shall be restricted if no generic claim is finally held to be allowable. Currently, claims 1-16, 18, and 20-66 are generic.

Applicant is advised that a reply to this requirement must include an identification of the species that is elected consonant with this requirement, and a listing of all claims readable thereon, including any claims subsequently added. An argument that a claim is allowable or that all claims are generic is considered nonresponsive unless accompanied by an election.

Upon the allowance of a generic claim, applicant will be entitled to consideration of claims to additional species which are written in dependent form or otherwise include all the limitations of an allowed generic claim as provided by 37 CFR 1.141. If claims are added after

Art Unit: 1654

the election, applicant must indicate which are readable upon the elected species. MPEP § 809.02(a).

Should applicant traverse on the ground that the species are not patentably distinct, applicant should submit evidence or identify such evidence now of record showing the species to be obvious variants or clearly admit on the record that this is the case. In either instance, if the examiner finds one of the inventions unpatentable over the prior art, the evidence or admission may be used in a rejection under 35 U.S.C. 103(a) of the other invention.

3. Regardless of whether the invention of Group I or of Group II is elected, and in addition to the election required in section 2 above, the following restriction requirement is also made:

Claims 1-16, 18, and 20-66 are generic to a plurality of disclosed patentably distinct sequences comprising: SEQ ID NOS:1-59. These sequences are patentably distinct, each from the other, because of their materially different amino acid sequences. Searching all of the claimed sequences would constitute an undue burden on the examiner because different sequence searches would be required for each of the claimed sequences. Applicant is required under 35 U.S.C. 121 to elect a single disclosed sequence, even though this requirement is traversed.

Should applicant traverse on the ground that the sequences are not patentably distinct, applicant should submit evidence or identify such evidence now of record showing the sequences to be obvious variants or clearly admit on the record that this is the case. In either instance, if the examiner finds one of the inventions unpatentable over the prior art, the evidence or admission may be used in a rejection under 35 U.S.C. 103(a) of the other invention.

This is not a species election, but a holding that the sequences are patentably distinct, one from the other.

Art Unit: 1654

4. If the invention of Group I is elected, then in addition to the elections required in sections 2 and 3 above, the following election of species requirement is also made:

This application contains claims directed to the following patentably distinct species of the claimed invention: The different therapeutic methods of use recited in instant claims 5, 7, 10, 13, and 20 are patentably distinct from one another because of the materially different cell types which are acted upon and because of the materially different physiological results which are achieved in each therapeutic method.

Applicant is required under 35 U.S.C. 121 to elect a single disclosed species for prosecution on the merits to which the claims shall be restricted if no generic claim is finally held to be allowable. Currently, claims 1-4, 12, 13, 26-36, 40-44, and 48-66 are generic.

Applicant is advised that a reply to this requirement must include an identification of the species that is elected consonant with this requirement, and a listing of all claims readable thereon, including any claims subsequently added. An argument that a claim is allowable or that all claims are generic is considered nonresponsive unless accompanied by an election.

Upon the allowance of a generic claim, applicant will be entitled to consideration of claims to additional species which are written in dependent form or otherwise include all the limitations of an allowed generic claim as provided by 37 CFR 1.141. If claims are added after the election, applicant must indicate which are readable upon the elected species. MPEP § 809.02(a).

Should applicant traverse on the ground that the species are not patentably distinct, applicant should submit evidence or identify such evidence now of record showing the species to be obvious variants or clearly admit on the record that this is the case. In either instance, if the

Application/Control Number: 10/032,330 Page 6

Art Unit: 1654

examiner finds one of the inventions unpatentable over the prior art, the evidence or admission may be used in a rejection under 35 U.S.C. 103(a) of the other invention.

5. Applicant is advised that the reply to this requirement to be complete must include an election of the invention to be examined even though the requirement be traversed (37 CFR 1.143).

6. The Sequence Listing filed April 5, 2002 has been approved.

7. Any inquiry concerning this communication or earlier communications from the examiner should be directed to Jeffrey E. Russel at telephone number (703) 308-3975. The examiner can normally be reached on Monday-Thursday from 8:30 A.M. to 6:00 P.M. The examiner can also be reached on alternate Fridays.

If attempts to reach the examiner by telephone are unsuccessful, the examiner's supervisor Brenda Brumback can be reached at (703) 306-3220. The fax number for Art Unit 1654 for formal communications is (703) 305-3014; for informal communications such as proposed amendments, the fax number (703) 746-5175 can be used. The telephone number for the Technology Center 1 receptionist is (703) 308-0196.

Jeffrey E. Russel

**Primary Patent Examiner** 

Art Unit 1654

**JRussel** 

March 5, 2003